



Resource Management in Asia-Pacific

Working Paper No. 6:

Afforestation and plantation forestry

Peter J. Kanowski

Department of Forestry
Australian National University
Canberra ACT 0200
Australia

Special Paper for XI World Forestry Congress, 13-22 October 1997

Also presented in the Resource Management in Asia-Pacific Seminar Series
11 September 1997

Resource Management in Asia-Pacific

Working Papers

The Resource Management in Asia-Pacific Working Paper series seeks to provide readers with access to current research on environmental and resource issues in the Asia-Pacific. Working Papers produced by the Project aim to facilitate discussion and debate on critical resource management issues in the area, and to link scholars working in different disciplines and regions.

Publication as a 'Working Paper' does not preclude subsequent publication in scholarly journals or books, indeed it may facilitate publication by providing feedback from readers to authors.

Unless otherwise stated, publications of the Resource Management in Asia-Pacific Project are presented without endorsement as contributions to the public record debate. Authors are responsible for their own analysis and conclusions.

Resource Management in Asia-Pacific Project
Research School of Pacific and Asian Studies
The Australian National University
Canberra ACT 0200
Tel: +61 2 6125 9978
Fax: +61 2 6125 4896
Email: rmap@coombs.anu.edu.au

Abstract

Plantation forests now comprise around 135 million ha globally, with annual plantation afforestation and reforestation rates nearing 10% of total area. Some 90% of plantation forests have been established primarily to provide industrial wood, and their relative global importance in this role is increasing rapidly. Most of the remaining 10% of plantation forests were established primarily to supply fuel or wood for non-industrial use. About 75% of the existing plantation forest estate is established in temperate regions, but it is in the tropics that the rate of expansion is greatest. The expanding tropical plantation forest estate includes trees grown primarily as agricultural plantation crops and which now also supply wood to forest industries. Almost all existing plantation forests were established and are managed as even-aged monocultures; species and interspecific hybrids of a few genera dominate plantation forestry worldwide.

Effective research and development, based on appropriate genetic resources and good silviculture, are the foundations of successful plantation forestry production. Resolving relatively fundamental issues remain the priority in many young plantation programmes; in more advanced programmes, the application of more sophisticated technologies - particularly in biotechnology and processing - is necessary to maintain improvements in production. Many plantation forests, particularly in the tropics, are not yet achieving their productive potential.

The sustainability of plantation forestry is an issue of wide interest and concern. The evidence from industrial plantation forestry suggests that biological sustainability, in terms of wood yield, is likely to be realised provided good practice is maintained. The relative benefits and costs of plantation forestry in broader environmental terms, and in terms of its social impacts, are the subject of greater controversy, and pose the greatest challenge to plantation foresters as we approach the millennium. Our experience with plantation forestry as it has developed this century offers us an excellent platform for rising to these challenges.

Afforestation and plantation forestry

Plantation forests

It is difficult, as others (*eg* Evans 1992, Mather 1993) have commented, to define either “afforestation” or “plantation forests” precisely. In particular, it is often not easy to distinguish between afforestation and either rehabilitation of degraded forest ecosystems or enrichment planting, or between plantation forests and various forms of trees on farms. The definition proposed by FAO to the 1967 *World Symposium on man-made forests and their industrial importance*, which uses as its criterion land use changes associated with afforestation or reforestation, has been the basis of subsequent official estimates (*eg* Pandey 1995), and is adopted here for the sake of consistency. However, any consideration of plantation forests should acknowledge that the distinction between them and some other forms of forestry is not always clear; thus, definitions, discussion and estimates vary.

The global extent of plantation forests in 1990 is estimated at around 135 million ha (FAO 1993, Gauthier 1991, Pandey 1995, Sharma 1992). About 75% of these plantation forests are in temperate regions and about 25% in the tropics and subtropics; some 5% of are found in Africa, a little more than 10% in each of the American continents, some 20% in the former USSR, and around 25% in each of Asia-Pacific and Europe (Gauthier 1991, Kanowski and Savill 1992). Species and interspecific hybrids of only a few genera - *Acacia*, *Eucalyptus*, *Picea* and *Pinus* - dominate plantation forests, with those of a few others - *eg* *Araucaria*, *Gmelina*, *Larix*, *Paraserianthes*, *Populus*, *Pseudotsuga* or *Tectona* - of regional importance (Evans 1992, Pandey 1995, Savill and Evans 1986). The ownership of plantation forests extends from governments and large industrial corporations to individual farmers, and their management varies considerably, from relatively simple and low-input to highly sophisticated and intensive.

Most plantation forests have been established as even-aged monoculture crops of trees with the primary purpose of wood production (Evans 1997). Around 90% of existing plantations have been established for the production of wood for industrial use, and most of the remainder to produce wood for use as fuel or roundwood. Some plantation forests are grown and managed, either primarily or jointly, for non-wood products such as essential oils, tannins, or fodder. The provision of a diverse range of other forest benefits and services, including environmental protection or rehabilitation, recreational opportunities, and CO₂ sequestration are also primary or secondary objectives for many plantation forests (Brown 1997, Evans 1992, Gauthier 1991, Kallio *et al* 1987, Lamb 1995, Myers 1989, Sedjo 1987, Sharma, 1992).

Trees grown as agricultural plantation crops - *eg* rubber or coconut - have not traditionally been considered as forest plantations. However, the distinction between the two forms of plantation culture is diminishing from two perspectives: from that of the forest manager, as rotation ages reduce and the intensity of forest plantation management increases; and from that of the agricultural tree estate manager, as these crops begin to be used for wood products. The recent example of forest industry development based on wood supply from Asian rubber plantations exemplifies the latter, and provides a striking example of how shifting supply factors and improved processing technologies can offer opportunities to non-traditional supply sources, and thus expand the plantation base. Rubberwood recovered from rubber estate re-establishment programmes now substitutes for many traditional industrial uses of natural forest woods from SE Asia, and provides the raw material for newer products such as medium-density fibreboard. Similar processing developments are in train, though as yet less advanced, for the other major tropical estate tree crops, oil palm and coconut. Given the substantial areas of these plantation crops worldwide - estimated at around 7 M ha of rubber estate, 4 M ha of coconut, and 3 M ha of oil palm - they have considerable potential to both supplement and compete with production from more conventional plantation forests.

The harvest rotations of forest plantations vary enormously, from annual or sub-annual for some non-wood products, to around 200 years for traditionally-managed high-value temperate hardwoods. With few exceptions so far, shorter rotation plantations - typically of 5 to 15 years - have been grown for fuel, fibre or roundwood, and longer rotation plantations - typically upwards of 25 years - principally for sawn or veneer wood products.

Notwithstanding successful antecedents in both temperate (*eg* oak in Europe) and tropical (*eg* teak in Asia and India; though see Keh 1997) environments, plantation forests on large scale are a twentieth-century phenomenon. The majority of the world’s plantation forests have been established in the past half-century, and the rate of plantation afforestation has been increasing progressively during this period. Global rates of forest plantation establishment and re-establishment are poorly known, but are estimated at around 2.6 million ha annually in the tropics (FAO 1993, Pandey 1995), and perhaps 10

million ha in the temperate zones (Mather 1990, 1993). Recent plantation expansion has been greatest in the southern hemisphere: in South America (principally Argentina, Chile and Brazil), Asia (principally Indonesia) and New Zealand, where particular coincidences of public policies, opportunities and market forces have been most conducive to afforestation. In some countries, *eg* Indonesia or Chile, plantation establishment remains concentrated on sites converted directly from natural ecosystems; in others, *eg* New Zealand or Portugal, plantation establishment has shifted entirely to sites formerly used for agriculture. The quality of plantation afforestation varies widely, and has been especially problematic in some tropical environments (Pandy 1995, 1997).

Plantation forests currently provide around 10% of the world's wood harvest; this proportion is rising and will continue to rise rapidly, as the area of natural forest available for harvesting diminishes, as economic pressures and technological change favour plantation crops, and as the plantation forest estate matures and expands. The contribution of plantations to wood production within domestic economies varies enormously, reflecting different forest endowments and policies - from, for example, nearly 100% in New Zealand or South Africa, to around 50% in Argentina or Zimbabwe, to negligible levels in Canada or Papua New Guinea.

Given the wood production objectives of most plantation forests, and the commodity nature of most wood markets, plantation growth rates are of fundamental importance because of their implications for the cost of wood at harvest. Only around 10% of existing plantations can be classified as "fast-growing" (in Sutton's (1991a) terms, yielding more than 14 m³/yr); most of these plantations are in the southern hemisphere, with around 40% in each of South America and Asia-Pacific. The majority of "fast-growing" plantations are of species such as *Acacia* or *Eucalyptus* grown on short rotations for the relatively low-value uses of fuel, fibre or roundwood; perhaps a third are longer-rotation crops, of either softwood or hardwood species, grown principally for sawn- or veneer- wood.

Global supply and trade forecasts, for both plantation production and its share of total wood harvest, are imprecise and complicated by the uncertainties of demand growth within developing economies - as Apsey and Reed (1996) comment, "the ... challenge is to sort out the hype from the reality with respect to fast growing plantations. Until this is done, a good share of strategic planning rests on a whirlpool of speculation". Imprecision notwithstanding, it is apparent that fast-growing plantation forests are already the most cost-competitive source of pulpwood globally, and that the expansion of the plantation resource is likely to constrain pulpwood price increases over the next decade. As the availability and relative importance in trade of higher-value wood products from plantation forests increase, so too will the influence of the plantation harvest on both supply and demand options for these products.

Plantation forestry

Plantation forestry at a global or semi-global scale has been the subject of a number of recent reviews (*eg* Carrere and Lohmann 1996, Evans 1992, Kanowski *et al* 1992, Mather 1993, Pandy 1995, Sargent and Bass 1992, Savill and Evans 1986, Shell/WWF 1992). These reviews highlight some important common elements and trends:

- use of well-adapted genetic resources, and good silviculture at all stages from nursery to harvest, are the two technical foundations of successful plantation forestry; each can make the difference between resounding success and abject failure. Many tropical plantations are not achieving their production potential because of inadequate attention to these fundamental elements (Pandy 1997). Successful plantation forestry is also based on sound and substantial research and development, its implementation in operational management, and the maintenance of close links between research and practice as each evolves. There is ample evidence of the adverse consequences of failing to link adequately research and practice (*eg* Evans 1992, Kanowski and Savill 1992, Napompeth and MacDicken 1990, Palmer 1988);
- many plantation forestry programmes have been founded on and developed through international and regional cooperation; the century-long history of cooperative research under IUFRO auspices (Burley and Adlard 1992), and the more recent role of FAO, demonstrate the many benefits of collaboration to plantation researchers and managers. As Burdon (1992) and Williams (1996), amongst others, have observed, the increasingly proprietary nature of research challenges these cooperative foundations;

- the appropriate level of research varies with the stage of development of the plantation programme. For example, as many papers to this Congress (*eg* Aminah 1997, Biblis 1997, Genç and Bilir 1997, Kizmaz 1997, Lemcoff *et al* 1997, Salerno and Giménez 1997, Sharma *et al* 1997, Stanturf *et al* 1997, Tunçtaner 1997, Zoralioğlu 1997) demonstrate, there remain many fundamental questions which must be resolved to support new plantation programmes. The continuing expansion of plantation forests onto sites for which there is as yet little plantation forestry experience will continue to demand such fundamental research. In contrast, as other papers to this Congress illustrate (*eg* Evans 1997, Popov *et al* 1997, Watt *et al* 1997), for programmes that are already well-established, increasingly sophisticated research and development will be necessary to deliver or maintain gains;
- as in other primary production enterprises, advanced technologies are playing an increasingly important role in plantation forestry:
 - applications of biotechnology in forestry have recently been reviewed by Haines (1994); those currently of most relevance are genomic mapping, molecular markers, transformation and micropropagation. Their application in the production and propagation of interspecific hybrids is of particular interest to many plantation programmes. The implementation of many biotechnologies are interdependent, and most are dependent for delivery on successful clonal propagation techniques, which are now in operational use in many programmes. The optimal integration of biotechnologies with plantation forestry is programme-specific, as demonstrated by numerous examples (*eg* Griffin 1996, Watt *et al* 1997, Wilson *et al* 1995);
 - advances in processing technologies are allowing the use of smaller and younger trees, and of species not previously considered suitable for value-added processing (*eg* papers to Topic 19, this Congress);
 - adequate planning and decision support systems are central to successful plantation enterprises. Appropriate systems range from the relatively simple (*eg* Ahlbäck 1997) to the sophisticated (*eg* Pritchard 1989); the lack of effective systems has been a major constraint to, in particular, many tropical plantation enterprises (Pandy 1997);
- there is a long history of concern for the biological sustainability of plantation forestry (Evans 1997). As plantation forests expand, so too have concerns for their sustainability in the broader sense (*eg* Hughes 1994, Carrere and Lohmann 1996). The sustainability of plantation forestry is now an issue in terms of each of its biological, economic and social dimensions, as well as in the more holistic sense of their conjunction (Barbier 1987); sustainability concerns in plantation forestry have a number of manifestations, as outlined below;
- discussion of the biological or environmental sustainability of plantation forests has three principal strands:
 - the first strand is the broad debate concerning the environmental costs and benefits associated with afforestation, particularly where it is preceded by conversion of natural ecosystems. Argument around this topic ranges across the spectrum of issues and views, from the imperative of meeting the wood products needs of growing populations in the face of declining natural forest resources (*eg* Pandy 1995, South 1997, Sutton 1991a), to the environmental impacts of forest conversion and plantation afforestation (*eg* Barnett 1992, Carrere and Lohmann 1996, Spellerberg 1996, WAHLI and YLBHI 1992);
 - the second strand has a narrower focus, on concerns for the biological sustainability of plantation forests *per se*, particularly their composition as monocultures. This topic has been reviewed for this Congress by Evans (1997), and the evidence is encouraging; as Evans concludes, “plantation forestry is likely to be sustainable in terms of wood yield in most situations provided good practice is maintained”.
 - a third strand is manifested by increasing research into alternative plantation regimes, principally the feasibility, advantages and disadvantages of mixed-species plantations (*eg* Ball *et al* 1995, Keenan *et al* 1995, Montagnini *et al* 1995, Wormald 1992), as a means of enhancing sustainability. Although experience remains limited, there are clearly circumstances which favour mixed-species stands. Some of these are social and economic, as discussed below;

- the economic dimensions of plantation forestry have two principal current manifestations:
 - firstly, the commodity nature of most forest plantation products - either fibre for pulp production, or utility grade timber - and the increasing globalisation of markets for these products maintains strong price pressure in favour of the lowest cost producers. Production costs are determined by the inescapable trio of land, labour and capital costs, and by forest productivity. The inevitable consequence of these pressures is the trend towards shorter crop rotations, which have been facilitated by advances in processing technologies, and the search for enhanced productivity. However, particularly for solid wood products, there remain trade-offs between harvest age, growth rates and product quality;
 - secondly, as a consequence of imperatives which are at least as ideological as economic, the ownership of forest plantations is shifting from the public to the private sector as governments divest themselves, at least in part, of public assets. Issues associated with this transition in the ownership of plantation forests have been explored by, for example, Hurditch (1992), Kirkland (1989), Rickman (1991) and Roche (1992). The appropriate role of government in plantation forestry remains an issue of debate, regardless of the level of public ownership of plantation forests, reflecting the various responsibilities of government - for example, in fostering an environment conducive to investment in tree growing, in the regulation of industry and of land use, and as steward of the environment and other community values;

- the social dimensions of plantation forestry also have a number of manifestations:
 - the fuel and wood needs of the rural poor were the primary motivating force for the establishment of non-industrial plantations. Afforestation with this intent began on a large scale in the late 1970s (Pandy 1995), as the international forestry community began to focus on how trees could better meet the needs of the world's poorest people. Non-industrial plantation establishment has been greatest in Africa and Asia (Pandy 1995); whilst the concern (*eg* as exemplified in the 1978 World Forestry Congress, *Trees for people*) and intent were genuine, the social consequences of non-industrial forestry have been mixed (*eg* Andersen and Huber 1988, Chambers *et al* 1989, Evans 1992, Fortmann 1988, Morrison and Bass 1992, Shepherd 1992). However, the sometime bitter experiences gained in non-industrial plantation forestry have helped foresters develop the means to better assess and address the needs of the poor and of rural people (*eg* Bradley and McNamara 1993, FAO 1985, Cernea 1992, Gilmour and Fisher 1991);
 - an emerging discussion of the social implications of industrial plantation forestry, which acknowledges that these are not necessarily positive, and may indeed be quite adverse. This discussion has occurred and continues at a range of scales - for example, focused on particular projects (*eg* Cavalcanti 1996, for the case of Aracruz, Brazil), in terms of national policy (*eg* WAHLI and YLBHI 1992, for the case of Indonesia; Roche 1992, for the case of New Zealand), or more global terms (*eg* Barnett 1992, Carrere and Lohmann 1996, Kanowski 1997, Shiva 1993). It is likely that the progress of this discussion will mirror, in many senses, that which has preceded it for non-industrial plantations.

The future of plantation forestry

I have suggested elsewhere (Kanowski 1995, 1997) that there is evidence of an emerging dichotomy in plantation forestry concept and practice, between what I have characterised as relatively simpler and relatively more complex production systems. Plantation forests as we know them are relatively simple production systems, typically even-aged monocultures, with the capacity to produce wood yields many times - often at least tenfold - greater than most natural forests. The importance of simple plantation forests in meeting the wood needs of societies will continue to increase; providing they are well-managed, these plantation forests should satisfy sustainability criteria (Sutton 1991b, Evans 1997).

This plantation forestry for commodity production benefits considerably from economies of scale and integration with industrial processing; it is also under strong cost and profit pressure, thus both demanding and permitting relatively high levels of resource inputs. Consequently, it will be increasingly concentrated on those sites which are inherently more productive than on those which are marginal, and from which the costs of transport to processors are least. The implication is of plantation programmes which are more intensive silviculturally and less extensive geographically, located where the forest land base is stable, secure and productive (Bingham 1985, Gauthier 1991), and where the economics of wood

production - in terms both of cost structures within forestry and of relativities with other land uses - are most favourable. Prevailing political ideologies suggest these plantations will increasingly be under private, or quasi-private, ownership and management.

Whilst successful - sometimes outstandingly - in producing wood, simple plantation systems do not necessarily address well the other needs of societies in which they are embedded. Where - as in much of the less economically-developed world - land is scarce, time horizons short, or demand strong for the non-industrial products and services of forests, the outputs of simple production systems are unlikely to meet the more complex needs of societies. In these circumstances, a broader conception of plantation forestry and range of plantation objectives, and a more intimate integration with other land uses, are essential if plantation forestry is to prosper and be sustained.

More complex plantation forestry explicitly recognises that wood is not the only product that people demand of forests, and seeks to maximise social benefits rather than just wood production. The particular expression of plantation forestry - where it lies along the continuum from simple to complex - will depend on the particular context; in developing a more complex plantation forestry, we have much to gain from our experiences of a wide spectrum of forestry activities, including agroforestry, community forestry, and simpler plantation forestry.

More complex plantation forestry will be characterised variously by:

- a more intimate association between forests and other land uses. Simple plantation forestry is typified by a sharp distinction between plantation forest and other land use. The boundary between plantation forest and non-forest use will become less distinct as plantation forestry becomes more complex. The various taungya systems, widely practised as means of afforestation in the tropics (Evans 1992), are an example of this complexity at the early stages of plantation forestry; much farm forestry (eg Grayson 1993, Lefroy and Scott 1994) demonstrates such integration at the level of the farm enterprise, irrespective of the particular configuration of tree growing;
- more direct involvement of local people in the conception and implementation of plantation forestry, and in the sharing of its benefits and products. The variety of joint venture or share farmer schemes, which recognise landowners' interests and priorities as well as those of the forest industry's, exemplify this for the case of farm forestry. There is increasing understanding of how participatory planning, management and use might be developed and practised in a forestry context (eg Arnold and Stewart 1991, FAO 1985, Griffin 1988, Gilmour and Fisher 1992), and this approach now characterises some programmes involving plantation forestry (eg Gilmour *et al* 1989, Arnold 1992). As the presence or absence of trees is important in determining land tenure in many societies (eg Arnold and Stewart 1991, Cornista and Escueta 1990, Fortmann and Bruce 1993), locally-appropriate tenure arrangements are essential to facilitate more complex plantation forestry (eg Sargent 1990);
- more diverse species composition and plantation structure, yielding an earlier and more continuing flow of a wider range of products and services than result from simple plantation forests. This does not necessarily imply that tree species will be grown as polycultures, though this may offer advantages in particular circumstances (eg Ball *et al* 1995, Wormald 1992). In others, a mosaic of relatively small blocks of different tree species may be more easily managed, but still yield the desired range of outputs.

Although its rationale is broader, more complex plantation forestry may also represent an effective strategy for risk minimisation, as Sargent and her colleagues (1990) demonstrated for the case of proposed eucalypt plantations in Thailand. Their conclusions - that the cost of *not* implementing complex plantation systems will exceed the cost of doing so - are likely to apply increasingly elsewhere, and have instructive parallels in other land use contexts (eg Aumeeruddy and Sansonnens 1994). There are many examples of how foresters have responded to social and environmental imperatives by developing more complex plantation forestry systems which still meet wood production objectives. These include:

- the silvicultural manipulation of *Pinus* plantations in Nepal, principally to promote the development of native broadleaved species, to increase species diversity and the range of forest products of more direct benefit to local people (Gilmour *et al* 1990);
- Britain's National Forest and Community Forests (Countryside and Forestry Commissions 1991), in which plantation forests for wood production are designed and managed to emphasize amenity,

conservation and landscape values. These new forests are paralleled by the "restructuring" of the British Forest Enterprise's simple plantation forests (*eg* McIntosh 1989), to enhance non-wood production functions, at an opportunity cost to simple wood production of around 10%;

- the integrated farm production systems, such as those associated with Spanish (Wilson *et al* 1995) or Australian (Inions 1995) forest industries, in which a variety of outgrower arrangements are employed to generate an enhanced income stream for farmers and assured wood supply for industrial use;
- recognition of the capacity of integrated tree-growing and farming systems to deliver substantial non-market benefits to the both the landowner and the wider community, in addition to the direct returns to the owner. For example, in Australia's lower rainfall zones, plantation forests integrated with the farm enterprise are playing the leading role in limiting the salinisation of agricultural land as well as helping to diversify farm incomes (Robins *et al* 1996); in many environments where catchment protection, stabilisation or restoration are priorities, appropriate integration of tree growing and agricultural practices is an important element of watershed management and rehabilitation strategies (Brooks *et al* 1992).

The adoption of complex plantation practice does not preclude use of new technologies or innovative management regimes, as Wilson *et al's* (1995) description of integrated production systems based on genetically-engineered fibre demonstrates. On the contrary, as numerous examples demonstrate (*eg* Lefroy and Scott 1994, Mayers and Ashie Kotey 1996), innovation in forestry practice is more likely to follow from involving a greater number of growers and allowing a diversity of management regimes.

Conclusions

Successful plantation forestry will continue to depend on effective research, development and management, and on innovation and technological advances. It will also depend increasingly on recognition of and respect for the principle of sustainability, in its full sense. As Evans (1997) comments, plantation forestry is merely a technology for delivering the benefits of trees to society; the appropriate form of that technology will vary with social, environmental and economic circumstance. What is clear is that the sustainability of plantation forestry will be enhanced, and the benefits of investments most fully realised, where plantation purpose and practice are embedded within the broader social and economic contexts. Because these vary, so too will the appropriate form of plantation forestry.

In realising the considerable potential of plantation forestry to benefit society, one of the principal challenges to plantation forest owners, managers and scientists is to progress from a narrow focus, which Shiva (1993) has characterised as "monocultures of the mind", to a broader appreciation of plantation purpose and practice. We are well-placed to do so, by building on the considerable body of experience and information we have gained relevant to plantation and other forms of forestry in many environments. It is in doing so that we shall sustain plantation forestry in the next century, and maximise its benefits.

Acknowledgments

I thank my coauthor in earlier iterations of this work, Peter Savill, and many others for comments thereon. Jim Ball of FAO offered a helpful critique of an earlier draft of this paper.

References

- Ahlbäck, AJ. 1997. Management planning of industrial plantations in Tanzania - principles and efforts. Paper to Topic 12, this Congress.
- Aminah, H. 1997. Planting stock production of Dipterocarps in Malaysia. Paper to Topic 12, this congress.
- Andersen, RS and W Huber. 1988. *The hour of the fox*. Univ Washington Press, Seattle. 158 p.
- Apsey, TM and FLC Reed. 1996. World timber resources outlook: current perceptions with implications for policy and practice. *Commonwealth Forestry Review* **75**: 155-160.
- Arnold, JEM. 1992. Production of forest products in agricultural and common land systems: economic and policy issues. Chapter 16 in: NP Sharma (Ed). *Managing the world's forests*. Kendall/ Hunt. 433-454.
- Arnold, JEM and WC Stewart. 1991. *Common property resource management in India*. Tropical Forestry Papers 24, Oxford Forestry Institute. 52 p.
- Aumeeruddy, Y and B Sansonnens. 1994. Shifting from simple to complex agroforestry systems: an example for buffer zone management from Kerinci (Sumatra, Indonesia). *Agroforestry Systems* **28**: 113-141.
- Ball, JB, TJ Wormald and L Russo. 1995. Experience with mixed and single species plantations. *Commonwealth Forestry Review* **74**: 301-305.
- Barbier, EB. 1987. The concept of sustainable economic development. *Environmental Conservation* **14**: 101-110.
- Barnett, A. 1992. *Deserts of trees: the environmental and social impacts of large scale tropical reforestation in response to global climate change*. Friends of the Earth, London. 62 p.
- Biblis, EJ. 1997. Effect of stand age and density on the quality of lumber from 25 to 40 year old loblolly pine plantations. Paper to Topic 12, this Congress.
- Bingham, CW. 1985. Rationale for intensive forestry investment: a 1980s view. Chapter 2 in: Sedjo, RA (Ed). *Investment in forestry*. Westview Press, Boulder. 21-31.
- Bradley, PN and K McNamara (eds). *Living with trees. Policies for forestry management in Zimbabwe*. World Bank Technical Paper No 210. 329 p.
- Brown, S. 1997. Present and potential future role of forests as carbon sinks. Paper to Topic 4, this Congress.
- Burdon, RD. 1992. Tree breeding and the new biotechnology - in damaging conflict or constructive synergism? In: Proc IUFRO S2.02-08 Conference, *Breeding tropical trees*. Cali, Colombia, 9-18 October 1992. 1-7.
- Burley, J and PG Adlard. 1992. Plantation silvicultural research and genetic improvement. In: *Proc IUFRO Centennial Meeting*. Berlin, September 1992. IUFRO, Vienna. 13 p.
- Carrere, R and L Lohmann. 1996. *Pulping the South*. Zed Books. 280 p.
- Cavalcanti, C. 1996. Brazil's new forests bring profit and pain. *People and the Planet* **5**(4): 14-16.
- Cernea, M. 1992. A sociological framework: policy, environment and the social actors for tree planting. Chapter 12 in: NP Sharma (Ed). *Managing the world's forests*. Kendall/ Hunt. 301-336.
- Cornista, LB and EF Escueta. 1990. Communal forest leases as a tenurial option in the Phillippine uplands. Chapter 7 in: Poffenberger, M (Ed). *Keepers of the forest- land management alternatives in Southeast Asia*. Kumarian Press. 134-144.
- Countryside and Forestry Commissions (UK). 1991. *Forests for the community*. Countryside Commission, London. 4 p.
- Evans, J. 1992. *Plantation forestry in the tropics*. 2nd ed. Clarendon Press, Oxford. 403 p.
- Evans, J. 1997. The sustainability of wood production in plantation forestry. Paper to Topic 12, this Congress.
- FAO. 1985. *Tree growing by rural people*. FAO Forestry Paper 64. FAO, Rome. 130 p.
- FAO. 1993. *Forest resources assessment 1990. Tropical countries*. FAO Forestry Paper 112. FAO, Rome. 61 p + appendices.
- Fortmann, L. 1988. Great planting disasters: pitfalls in technical assistance to forestry. *Agriculture and Human Values* **5**: 49-60.
- Fortmann, L and J Bruce. 1993. Tenure and gender issues in forest policy. Chapter 7 in: PN Bradley and K McNamara (eds). *Living with trees. Policies for forestry management in Zimbabwe*. World Bank Technical Paper No 210. 199-210.
- Gauthier, JJ. 1991. Les bois de plantation dans le commerce mondial des produits forestiers. In: *L'emergence des nouveaux potentiels forestiers dans le monde*. AFOCEL, Paris. 9-20.

- Gilmour, DA and RJ Fisher. 1991. *Villagers, forests and foresters*. Sahoyogi Press, Kathmandu. 212 p.
- Gilmour, DA, GC King and M Hobley. 1989. Management of forests for local use in the hills of Nepal. 1. Changing forest management paradigms. *J World Forest Resource Management* **4**: 93-110.
- Gilmour, DA, GC King, GB Applegate and B Mohns. 1990. Silviculture of plantation forests in central Nepal to maximise community benefits. *Forest Ecology and Management* **32**: 173-186.
- Genç, M and N Bilir. 1997. Influence of transplanting on seedling growth and post-planting success in oriental spruce. Paper to Topic 12, this Congress.
- Grayson, AJ. 1993. *Private forestry policy in Western Europe*. CAB International. 329 p.
- Griffin, AR. 1996. Genetically-modified trees - the plantations of the future or an expensive distraction? *Commonwealth Forestry Review* **75** 169-175.
- Haines, RJ. 1994. *Biotechnology in forest tree improvement*. FAO Forestry Paper 118. 230 p.
- Hughes, HR. 1994. Towards sustainable plantation forestry. *New Zealand Forestry* **39**(2): 16-18.
- Hurditch, WJ. 1992. *Problems of public forestry and socio-economic implications of privatisation*. Occasional Paper 42, Oxford Forestry Institute, Oxford, UK. 68 p.
- Inions, G. 1995. Lessons from farm forestry in Western Australia. In: *Outlook 95*. ABARE, Canberra. **1**: 416-422.
- Kallio, M, DP Dykstra and CS Binkley (eds). 1987. *The global forest sector: an analytical perspective*. Wiley. 706 p.
- Kanowski, PJ. 1995. The complex future of plantation forestry. In: BM Potts *et al* (eds). *Eucalypt plantations: improving fibre yield and quality*. Proc IUFRO Conference, Hobart, Tasmania, February 1995. CRC for Temperate Hardwood Forestry, Hobart. 483-487.
- Kanowski, PJ. 1997. *Plantation forestry at the millennium*. Discussion Paper for World Commission on Forests and Sustainable Development. 8 p.
URL: <http://online.anu.edu.au/Forestry/dept/staff/kanowski/abstract.html>
- Kanowski, PJ and PS Savill. 1992. Forest plantations: towards sustainable practice. Chapter 6 in: C Sargent and S Bass (Eds). *Plantation politics: forest plantations in development*. Earthscan, London. 121-151.
- Kanowski, PJ, PS Savill, PG Adlard, J Burley, J Evans, JR Palmer and PJ Wood. 1992. Plantation Forestry. Chapter 14 in: NP Sharma (Ed). *Managing the world's forests*. Kendall/ Hunt. 375-402.
- Keenan, R, D Lamb and G Sexton. 1995. Experience with mixed species rainforest plantations in North Queensland. *Commonwealth Forestry Review* **74**: 315-321.
- Keh, SK. 1997. Whither goest Myanmar teak plantation establishment? Paper to Topic 12, this Congress.
- Kizmaz, M. 1997. Studies on the propagation by cutting of some broadleaved tree species in Turkey. Paper to Topic 12, this Congress.
- Kirkland, A. 1989. The rise and fall of multiple use management in New Zealand. *New Zealand Forestry* **33**(1): 9-12.
- Lamb, R. 1995. *Forests, fuel and the future*. Forestry Topics Reports No 5. FAO Forestry Department, Rome. 78 p.
- Lamola, LM and RB Bertram. 1994. Experts gather in Mexico to seek new strategies in preserving agrobiodiversity. *Diversity* **10**(3): 15-17.
- Lefroy, T and P Scott. 1994. Alley farming: new vision for Western Australia. *WA J Agriculture* **35**: 119-126.
- Lemcoff, JH, A Garau, A Guarnaschelli, P Prystupa and M Bascialli. 1997. Water stress tolerance in seedlings of *Eucalyptus* clones. Paper to Topic 12, this Congress.
- McIntosh, R. 1989. Forest design: Kielder Forest restructuring. *Timber Grower* Autumn 1989. 19-20.
- Mather, AS. 1990. *Global forest resources*. Bellhaven Press. 341 p.
- Mather, AS (Ed). 1993. *Afforestation: policies, planning and progress*. Bellhaven Press. 223 p.
- Mayers, J and EN Ashie Kotey. 1996. *Local institutions and adaptive forest management in Ghana*. IIED Forestry and Land Use Series No 7. 85 p.
- Montagnini, F, E Golzález, C Porras and R Rheingans. 1995. Mixed and pure plantations in the humid neotropics: a comparison of early growth, pest damage and establishment costs. *Commonwealth Forestry Review* **74**: 306-314.
- Morrison, E and S Bass. 1992. What about the people? Chapter 5 in: C Sargent and S Bass (Eds). *Plantation politics: forest plantations in development*. Earthscan, London. 92-120.
- Myers, N. 1989. The greenhouse effect: a tropical forestry response. *Biomass* **18**: 73-78.
- Napompeth, B and KG MacDicken (Eds). 1990. *Leucaena psyllid: problems and management*. Proc Workshop, Bogor, Indonesia, 16-21 January 1989. Winrock International. 208 p.

- National Research Council (USA). 1991. *Managing global genetic resources- forest trees*. National Academy Press. 228 p.
- Palmer, JR. 1988. JARI - lessons for land managers in the tropics. *Bois et Forêts des Tropiques* **212**: 16-27.
- Pandy, D. 1995. *Forest resources assessment 1990. Tropical forest plantation resources*. FAO Forestry Paper 128. 81 p.
- Pandy, D. 1997. *Major issue of tropical forest plantations*. Paper to Topic 12, this Congress.
- Popov, G, E Velizarova and D Dikov. 1997. Criteria for an optimum structure of oak stands with *Quercus conferta* Kit. Paper to Topic 12, this Congress.
- Pritchard, MA (ed.). 1989. *A systems approach to forest operations planning and control*. UK Forestry Commission Bulletin 82. HMSO, London. 157 p.
- Rickman, R. 1991. *What's good for the woods*. Policy Study No 129, Centre for Policy Studies, London. 48 p.
- Robins, L, K McIntyre and J Woodhill. 1996. *Farm forestry in Australia: integrating commercial and conservation benefits*. Greening Australia Ltd, Canberra. 54 p.
- Roche, M. 1992. Privatizing the exotic forest estate: the New Zealand experience. In: J Dargavel and R Tucker (eds). *Changing Pacific forests*. Proc Forest History Society/ IUFRO Conference. Forest History Society (USA). 139-154.
- Salerno, MI and JE Giménez. 1997. Substitution of methyl bromide for disease control in forest nurseries. Paper to Topic 12, this Congress.
- Sargent, C. 1990. The Khun Song Plantation Project. IIED, London. 176 p.
- Sargent, C and S Bass (eds). 1992. *Plantation politics*. Earthscan. 191 p.
- Savill, PS and J Evans. 1986. *Plantation silviculture in temperate regions*. Clarendon Press, Oxford. 246 p.
- Sedjo, RA. 1987. Forest resources of the world: forests in transition. Chapter 1 in: Kallio, M, DP Dykstra, and CS Binkley (eds.). *The global forest sector: an analytical perspective* Wiley-Interscience, New York. 7-31.
- Sharma, MP, NP Bhatia, A Gaur and A Adholeya. 1997. Mycorrhizal dependency of *Acacia nilotica* and *Eucalyptus tereticornis* to inoculation of indigenous va-mycorrhizal fungi consortium in marginal wasteland soil. Paper to Topic 12, this Congress.
- Shell/ WWF. 1992. *Tree plantation review*. Shell and WWF, London and Godalming. 11 volumes.
- Shiva, V. 1993. *Monocultures of the mind*. Zed Books. 184 p.
- South, DB. 1997. How can we feign sustainability with an increasing population? Paper to: *Planted forests: contributions to sustainable societies*. Portland, Oregon, 28 June - 1 July 1997. College of Forestry, Oregon State University.
URL:<http://www.forestry.auburn.edu/coops/sfmc/class/feign.html>
- Spellerberg, IF. 1996. Plantation forests protect our biodiversity? - too much of a generalisation to be true! *New Zealand Forestry* **40**(4): 5-7.
- Stanturf, JA, CJ Schweitzer, ES Gardiner and JP Shepard. 1997. Restoration of forested wetlands on marginal farmland: goals, strategies, and techniques. Paper to Topic 12, this Congress.
- Sutton, WRJ. 1991a. Are we too concerned about wood production? *New Zealand Forestry* **36**(3): 25-28.
- Sutton, WRJ. 1991b. New Zealand Radiata Pine plantations: an example of sustainability. Paper to Society of American Foresters Convention, San Francisco, CA. 4-7 August 1991. 6 p.
- Tunçtaner, K. 1997. Choice of exotic coniferous species for industrial plantations in Turkey. Paper to Topic 12, this Congress.
- WAHLI and YLBHI. 1992. *Mistaking plantations for Indonesia's tropical forest*. Wahana Lingkungan Hidup Indonesia, Jakarta. 69 p.
- Watt, MP, FC Blakeway, B Herman and N Denison. 1997. Developments in the use of biotechnology in commercial forestry tree improvement programmes in South Africa. Paper to Topic 12, this Congress.
- Williams, CG. 1996. How will genomic mapping shape forest tree breeding? In: MJ Dieters *et al* (eds): *Tree improvement for sustainable tropical forestry*. Proc QFRI-IUFRO Conference, Caloundra, Queensland, 27 October - 1 November 1996. **2**: 464-466.
- Wilson, RA, R Astorga, C Gomez and F Gonzalez-Rio. 1995. Papermaking with DNA. "Intelligent Fibre". In: BM Potts *et al* (Eds). *Eucalypt plantations: improving fibre yield and quality*. Proc IUFRO Conference, Hobart, Tasmania, February 1995. CRC for Temperate Hardwood Forestry, Hobart. 24-30.

- Wormald, T.J. 1992. *Mixed and pure forest plantations in the tropics and subtropics*. FAO Forestry Paper 103. 152 p.
- Zoralioglu, T. 1997. Mechanization techniques and outputs in industrial plantations in Turkey. Topic 12, this Congress.

Featuring a 16-page center section with color photographs that illustrate some of the best on-the-ground examples of ecosystem management from around the world, *Creating a Forestry for the 21st Century* is the definitive text on managing ecosystems. It provides a compelling case for thinking creatively beyond the bounds of traditional forest resource management, and will be essential reading for students; scientists working in state, federal, and private research institutions; public and private forest managers; staff members of environmental/conservation organizations; and policymakers. Tree planting and forest plantation establishment are long-term and risky investments, not only from the smallholder perspective, but also for institutional investors and the industries. This is one of the reasons why incentives have played an important role in the early stages of growing the sector towards a significant industry in so many countries. New financing instruments have a potential to address smallholder forestry needs. Despite of the challenges, smallholders have a great interest to plant trees e.g. in Ethiopia and Tanzania on their own-initiative, responding to the increasing market demand for plantation wood. 1 edition of *Creating a forestry for the 21st century* found in the catalog. Add another edition? *Creating a Forestry for the 21st Century*. *Creating a Forestry for the 21st Century* Close. 1 2 3 4 5. Want to Read. Are you sure you want to remove *Creating a Forestry for the 21st Century* from your list? *Creating a Forestry for the 21st Century*. *The Science Of Ecosystem Management*. 1 edition. by Jerry F. Franklin.